

| | | | |
|--|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i> | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/583,649 | CHEN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | ALBERT WANG | 2115 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) ALBERT WANG.

(3) _____.

(2) Vincent Anderson (Reg. No. 54,962).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 March 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

9 and 29-35

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In addition to amending claims 9 and 29 to comprise bios, as authorized by email from Gregory Caldwell on 24 February 2010, amend the preamble of claims 29-35 to "machine readable storage medium", correct for grammar, and cancel claim 10.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)